Tailored Education for Twice Exceptional Gifted Students: Facts and Myths on the Prevention of Talent Frustration

Summary of

"Passend onderwijs voor dubbel-bijzondere (hoog)begaafde leerlingen: Fabels en feiten over (het voorkomen van) frustratie van talent"

Dr. Agnes Burger-Veltmeijer1,2

Prof. Dr. Evelyn Kroesbergenı

Prof. Dr. Alexander Minnaert3

Dr. Lianne Hoogeveen:

1Radboud University

2ABV, Practice for child & youth psychology

³Rijkuniversity Groningen









This research is funded by the Dutch Nationaal Regieorgaan Onderwijsonderzoek, Project number 405-18-631.

Copyright © 2018, the authors. The use of text, ideas, figures and tables from this report is free under the condition of clear source reference.

Tailored Education for Twice Exceptional Gifted Students: Facts and Myths on the Prevention of Talent Frustration

The current thematical review study brought forward the lack of empirically based knowledge on how to adequately identify and adjust to the psycho-educational needs of twice exceptional (2E) students. 2E students are characterized by high intelligence and/or high academic results on the one hand and learning, developmental and/or behavioral problems on the other hand. This review study analyzed both empirical and review studies. The main conclusions are that it is very difficult to diagnose these students and their educational needs, and that it is important to apply an individual approach, by an expert diagnostician. The empirical shortage on this matter was firstly recognized more than two decades ago, emerged continuously thereafter and is still present nowadays. However, within practice-oriented literature, statements are made on the needs of these students – without empirical basis -, which are then followed by professionals. Consequently, the risks of these unsubstantiated facts or even myths could possible result in a lack of (inclusive and) equitable quality education for 2E students.

Motivation for the current study and research questions

In the Netherlands, the demand for practical guidelines for 2E students increased substantially after "Tailored education" was introduced in 2014. 2E students are characterized by high intellectual capacities and/or high academic results on the one hand and learning or developmental problems on the other hand. These intraindividual discrepancies in development often result in internalizing or externalizing problem behavior. The current educational system seems insufficiently able to meet the complex educational needs of these 2E students. This increases the risk on problem behavior, school drop-out and lower educational levels than would be expected based on the students IQs. High potential could therewith be lost, both in case of the students' personal developmental trajectories as for society in general. A growing need arises within schools to gather profound, grounded insights on this specific group of students and on guidelines that match their high capacities on the one hand and their relative weaker didactical, neuropsychological and/or social-emotional developmental aspects on the other hand.

The goal of the current study was to gain more insight into the question who those 2E students are, mapping their characteristics and behavioral problems and finding out more about possible identification processes. Moreover to learn more about adequately adjusting to their needs within the educational system, and finding out which factors could possibly contribute to a successful school carrier in which frustration of talent could be prevented as much as possible. To meet this goal, a review was conducted of the (inter)national empirical literature and by comparing those findings with

the popular scientific and practice oriented literature. A total of 6 review studies, 20 empirical studies, 7 popular scientific book(chapters) and 16 practice oriented sources where analyzed. The following research questions were posed:

- 1. Exploratory research: What risk factors can be defined, both in terms of child characteristics as in terms of educational practices, with regard to frustration of talent, behavioral problems, and school drop-out in 2E students in primary and secondary school?
- 2. Advisory research: What is needed in order to reduce the frustration of talent, behavioral problems, and school drop-out of 2E students in primary and secondary school within The Netherlands and to optimize their future perspectives?

Concluding remarks from the literature study

The following main conclusions have been drawn based on the study of the scientific sources. The first conclusion concerned the large variety in the identification criteria of 2E students. Various criteria are used, both for determining high intelligence (such as minimal IQ scores of 120 or 130) and for the determination of learning and behavior problems. The large inter- and intra-individual differences between levels of intelligence, learning results, neuropsychological and non-cognitive characteristics generate even more complexity to the diagnostic process. The second conclusion emphasizes the importance of the discussion on the discrepancy criterion. The question is whether there must be an absolute weakness in order to attribute a certain disorder to a student, or if a larger discrepancy between strengths and weaknesses of a student would be sufficient enough for a diagnosis. The latter is related to the third conclusion, namely the fact that there is still no clear evidence on the so-called masking effect in 2E. It is often claimed that talents and weaknesses can either compensate, camouflage or distort each other leading to incorrect or missed classifications in 2E students. However, the current literature study shows no evidence either supportive or rejective for the masking effect. The fourth conclusion drawn from the literature is the fact that the literature is scarce on need-based and treatment-oriented diagnostics. The fifth and last conclusion is that there are still no effect studies on effective psycho-educational interventions for 2E students.

Based on our study of Dutch practice oriented literature we can conclude that little has been written about 2E students and the general picture is that knowledge of and experience with 2E students is still young and unbalanced. A few of the Dutch authors appear to base their work mainly on their own experiences and seem to use empirical sources only scarcely or biased. Statements are regularly made without referal to any source, nor by providing any further explanation or discussion as to why these ideas were put forward. It also appears that ideas are taken out of context or miss a reference to its true origin. We assume this to be a consequence of a combination of enthusiasm regarding 2E

students, the lack of grounded theoretical data, and the increasing duty to meet the needs of 2E students in tailored education.

Popular scientific and practice oriented publications are necessary in order to bridge the gap between theory and practice. The consequences of bridging this gap in an undesirable manner such as outlined above could be detrimental. It could encourage false representations of 2E students and insufficient educational practices for these students, in not only teachers and other educational professionals, but also in parents and students themselves as well as for trainers and policy. This emphasizes the importance of scientists and practitioners cooperating in order to bring about a decent image, knowledge, the development of expertise, and adjustment in- and outside the educational domain. It is along these lines that spreading certain 'truths' that are hardly based on facts or reside on one-sided thinking can be avoided. Parents, students, teachers, and others have the right to receive empirically based information wherein limitations are recognized. In that way myths can be distinguished from facts.

Practical advice

Based on our literature study we can conclude that there is still a shortage on scientific knowledge about 2E students. Furthermore, we can provide some practical advice.

The teacher is often one of the first to be involved with 2E students. It is important that teachers (and educational supervisors, remedial teachers or special needs coordinators) are able to recognize and detect discrepancies within 2E students, without unilaterally attributing these discrepancies to either the giftedness or the learning, developmental, or behavioral problems. If 2E is suspected in an individual student, for example in case of notable discrepancies or apparent contradicting behaviors or performances, a 2E-expert must always be consulted. For the time being, the researchers recommend individually tuned comprehensive assessments of strengths and weaknesses and related educational needs, performed by experts.

For policymakers, we have the following recommendations. We advise educational partnerships ('samenwerkingsverbanden') and schools to facilitate adequate assessments of strengths and weaknesses by experts in order to translate the associated educational needs into adequate interventions. This requires professionalization, (in-service)training for (upcoming) teachers, special needs coordinators, healthcare experts, and (school)psychologists. Various initiatives are currently being developed to design education for 2E students. However, it appears that adequate source referencing seems to be missing in the design process of ideas and materials. That is a pity given the fact that these ideas cannot be verified. Our advice for education in general is to create a theoretical framework and proceed in a step-by-step manner through interdisciplinary cooperation in the development of ideas, instruments or materials. It is important to bundle expertise and evaluate these

initiatives, in order to expand the knowledge base, for example through practice oriented scientific research.

Subsequently and because of the lack of empirical literature, it is strongly advised to expand the research on 2E students and their educational needs. An extensive research agenda is composed for this matter, which among others contains topics such as prevalence, diagnostic criteria, care without labeling – based on psycho-educational needs – and cooperation with youth care.

References (from the original report)

- Alloway, T. P., Elsworth, M., Miley, N., & Seckinger, S. (2016). Computer use and behavior problems in twice-exceptional students. *Gifted Education International*, *32*, 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1177/0261429414540392
- Amend, E. R. (2018). Finding hidden potential: Toward best practices in identifying gifted students with disabilities. In S. B. kaufman (Ed.), *Twice Exceptional: Supporting and Educating Bright and Creative Students with Learning Difficulties* (pp. 66–82). Oxford University Press.
- Assouline, S. G., Foley-Nicpon, M., Colangelo, N., & O'Brien, M. (2008). *The paradox of giftedness and autism: Packet of information for professionals (PIP) Revised*. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED535140.pdf
- Assouline, S. G., Foley-Nicpon, M., & Whiteman, C. (2010). Cognitive and psychososial characteristics of gifted students with written language disability. *Gifted Child Quarterly Disability*, *54*, 102–115. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986209355974
- Assouline, S. G., Nicpon, M. F., & Doobay, A. (2009). Profoundly gifted girls and autism spectrum disorder: A psychometric case study comparison. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *53*, 89–105. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986208330565
- Assouline, S. G., Nicpon, M. F., & Whiteman, C. S. (2010). Cognitive and psychosocial characteristics of gifted students with written language disability. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *54*, 102–115. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986209355974
- Baldwin, L., Baum, S., Pereles, D., & Hughes, C. (2015). Twice-exceptional learners: The journey toward a shared vision. *Gifted Child Today*, *38*, 206–214. https://doi.org/10.1177/1076217515597277
- Barber, C., & Mueller, C. T. (2011). Social and self-perceptions of adolescents identified as gifted, learning disabled, and twice-exceptional. *Roeper Review*, *33*, 109–120. https://doi.org/10.1080/02783193.2011.554158
- Baum, S. M., Cooper, C. R., & Neu, T. W. (2001). Dual differentiation: An approach for meeting the curricular needs of gifted students with learning disabilities. *Psychology in the Schools*, *38*, 477–490. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.1036
- Baum, S. M., Schader, R. M., & Owen, S. V. (2017). To be Gifted and Learning Disabled: Strength-Based Strategies for helping Twice-Exceptional Students with LD, ADHD, ASD, and more. Waco, TX, US: Prufrock Press.
- Beckmann, E., & Minnaert, A. (2018). Non-cognitive characteristics of gifted students with learning disabilities: An in-depth systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 504. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00504
- Berninger, V. W., & Abbott, R. D. (2013). Differences between children with dyslexia who are and are not gifted in verbal reasoning. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *57*, 223–233. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986213500342
- Betts, G. T. ., & Neihart, M. (1988). Profiles of the gifted and talented. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 32, 248–253.
- Bianco, M. (2005). The effects of disability labels on special education and general education teachers' referrals for gifted programs. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 28, 285–293. https://doi.org/10.2307/4126967

- Brody, L. E., & Mills, C. J. (1997). Gifted children with learning disabilities: A review of the issues. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *30*, 282–296.
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J. (2009). Hoogbegaafdheid plus (kenmerken van) een autismespectrumstoornis: van dubbel exceptioneel tot 1+1=1 ½. In E. Van Gerven (Ed.), *Handboek Hoogbegaafdheid* (pp. 232–247). Assen: Koninklijke Van Gorcum BV.
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J. (2016). Students with (Suspicion of) IG+ASD: A study aimed at understanding the Phenomenon of Intellectual Giftedness in Co-occurrence with Autism Spectrum Disorder in relation to (Needs-Based) Assessment. University of Groningen. Retrieved from https://www.rug.nl/research/portal/en/publications/students-with-suspicion-ofigasd(4d94477d-2290-4b76-82ab-2ec618df5ba7).html
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J. (2017). (Mis)diagnoses bij dubbel bijzondere kinderen: We moeten afzien van het denken in etiketten. *Gifted@248*, *herfst*, 38–41. Retrieved from https://webdog.agnesburger.nl/files/248_2017_Agnes_BurgerVeltmeijer_publicatie.pdf
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J., & Minnaert, A. E. M. G. (2016). De waarde van de Sterkte en Zwakte Heuristiek in diagnostiek bij het vermoeden van hoogbegaafdheid. *Orthopedagogiek: Onderzoek En Praktijk*, 55, 160–174.
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J., & Minnaert, A. E. M. G. (2017). Sterkte- en zwaktediagnostiek bij (het vermoeden van) hoogbegaafdheid plus autisme. *Wetenschappelijk Tijdschrift Autisme: Theorie En Praktijk*, 16, 48–63. Retrieved from https://webdog.agnesburger.nl/files/WTA_jan_2017_defpdf.pdf
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J., Minnaert, A. E. M. G., & van den Bosch, E. J. (2014). Needs-based assessment of students with (suspicion of) intellectual giftedness and/or an autism spectrum disorder: Design of a heuristic. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, *12*, 211–240. https://doi.org/10.14204/ejrep.32.13119
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J., Minnaert, A. E. M. G., & van den Bosch, E. J. (2015). Assessments of intellectually gifted students with(out) characteristic(s) of ASD: An explorative evaluation among diagnosticians in various psycho-educational organisations. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, 13, 5–26. https://doi.org/10.14204/ejrep.35.14109
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J., Minnaert, A. E. M. G., & Van den Bosch, E. J. (2016). Intellectually gifted students with possible characteristics of ASD: A multiple case study of psycho-educational assessment practices. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, *31*, 76–95. https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2015.1087147
- Burger-Veltmeijer, A. E. J., Minnaert, A. E. M. G., & Van Houten-Van den Bosch, E. J. (2011). The co-occurrence of intellectual giftedness and Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Educational Research Review*, 6, 67–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2010.10.001
- Busi, K., & Berman, K. (2018). Integration and dynamic adaptation in the formation of a novel 2e school model. In S. B. Kaufman (Ed.), *Twice exceptional: Supporting and Educating Bright and Creative Students with Learning Difficulties* (pp. 322–339). Oxford University Press.
- Bussing, R., Porter, P., Zima, B. T., Mason, D., Garvan, C., & Reid, R. (2012). Academic outcome trajectories of students with ADHD: Does exceptional education status matter? *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 20, 131–143. https://doi.org/10.1177/1063426610388180
- Daeter, B. (2013). Hoogbegaafde Kinderen met Stoornissen. Assen: Koninklijke Van Gorcum BV.
- De Boer, G. C., Minnaert, A. E. M. G., & Kamphof, G. (2013). Gifted education in the Netherlands. Journal for the Education of the Gifted, 36, 133–150. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162353212471622
- Doobay, A. F., Foley-Nicpon, M., Ali, S. R., & Assouline, S. G. (2014). Cognitive, adaptive, and psychosocial differences between high ability youth with and without autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *44*, 2026–2040. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2082-1
- Foley-Nicpon, M. (2016). The social and emotional development of twice-exceptional children. In M. Neihart, S. I. Pfeiffer, & T. L. Cross (Eds.), *The Social and Emotional Development of Gifted Children: What do we Know?* (pp. 103–118). Waco, TX, US: Prufrock Press.
- Foley-Nicpon, M., Allmon, A., Sieck, B., & Stinson, R. D. (2011). Empirical investigation of twice-exceptionality: Where have we been and where are we going? *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *55*, 3–17. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986210382575
- Foley-Nicpon, M., Assouline, S. G., & Colangelo, N. (2013). Twice-exceptional learners: Who needs

- to know what? Gifted Child Quarterly, 57, 169-180. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986213490021
- Foley-Nicpon, M., Assouline, S. G., & Fosenburg, S. (2015). The relationship between self-concept, ability, and academic programming among twice-exceptional youth. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 26, 256–273. https://doi.org/10.1177/1932202X15603364
- Foley-Nicpon, M., Assouline, S. G., & Stinson, R. D. (2012). Cognitive and academic distinctions between gifted students with autism and Asperger syndrome. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *56*, 77–89. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986211433199
- Foley Nicpon, M., Doobay, A. F., & Assouline, S. G. (2010). Parent, teacher, and self perceptions of psychosocial functioning in intellectually gifted children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40, 1028–1038. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-0952-8
- Gallagher, S. A., & Gallagher, J. J. (2002). Giftedness and Asperger's syndrome: A new agenda for education. *Understanding Our Gifted*, *14*, 7–12.
- Houkema, D., Janssen, Y., & Steenbergen-Penterman, N. (2018). *Passend onderwijs voor begaafde leerlingen binnen samenwerkingsverbanden: Rapportage van een inventariserend onderzoek.*Retrieved from http://downloads.slo.nl/Repository/passend-onderwijs-voor-begaafde-leerlingen-binnen-samenwerkingsverbanden.pdf
- Hughes, C. E. (2011). Twice-exceptional children: Twice the challenges, twice the joys. In J. A.
 Castellano & A. D. Frazier (Eds.), Special Populations in Gifted Education: Understanding our most Able Students from Diverse Backgrounds (pp. 153–173). Waco, TX, US: Prufrock Press.
- Koenderink, T., & Van Dijk, A. (2015). *Hoge kansen lage cijfers: Begeleiding van hoogbegaafde uitvallers en thuiszitters in de toekomst.* Retrieved from https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B2_s_j9Zcry3U1E0VG1aWm5tekk/view
- Kroesbergen, E. H., Van Hooijdonk, M., Van Viersen, S., Middel-Lalleman, M. M. N., & Reijnders, J. J. W. (2016). The psychological well-being of early identified gifted children. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 60, 16–30. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986215609113
- Kroesbergen, E. H., & Van Viersen, S. (2014). Dubbel bijzonder: Hoogbegaafd en dyslectisch. *Gifted*@248, *winter*.
- Lek, K., Van de Schoot-Hubeek, W., Kroesbergen, E., & Van de Schoot, R. (2017). Het betrouwbaarheidsinterval in intelligentietests. *De Psycholoog, november*, 10–24. Retrieved from https://www.rensvandeschoot.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Lek-et-al-2017-De-Psycholoog-IQ-interval.pdf
- Lovett, B. J., & Sparks, R. L. (2013). The identification and performance of gifted students with learning disability diagnoses: A quantitative synthesis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *46*, 304–316. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219411421810
- Luider-Veenstra, J. (2017). *Dubbel bijzonder leidt tot gedonder*. Retrieved from http://www.gewoonhoogbegaafd.nl/dubbel-bijzonder-leidt-tot-gedonder/
- Maddocks, D. L. S. (2018). The identification of students who are gifted and have a learning disability: A comparison of different diagnostic criteria. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 62, 175–192. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986217752096
- Martin, L. T., Burns, R. M., & Schonlau, M. (2010). Mental disorders among gifted and nongifted youth: A selected review of the epidemiologic literature. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *54*, 31–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986209352684
- McCallum, R. S., Bell, S. M., Coles, J. T., Miller, K. C., Hopkins, M. B., & Hilton-Prillhart, A. (2013). A model for screening twice-exceptional students (gifted with learning disabilities) within a response to intervention paradigm. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *57*, 209–222. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986213500070
- Meijer, W., Felder, V., Minnaert, A., & Veenstra, A. (2016). *Over Leerlingen Gesproken...: De IB'er als Drijvende Kracht achter de Cultuuromslag die "Passend Onderwijs" heet.* Dordrecht: Instondo. Retrieved from https://www.rug.nl/research/portal/publications/over-leerlingengesproken(cd48ecbf-bc79-42df-8632-3443ac69879b)/export.html
- Neihart, M. (2000). Gifted children with Asperger's syndrome. Gifted Child Quarterly, 44, 222–230.
- Neihart, M., & Betts, G. (2010). *Revised profiles of the gifted & talented*. Retrieved from https://samfordss.eq.edu.au/Supportandresources/Formsanddocuments/Documents/Student Support Services/Advanced learners/betts-revised-profiles.pdf

- Neihart, M., Pfeiffer, S. I., & Cross, T. L. (2016). What have we learned and what should we do next? In M. Neihart, S. I. Pfeiffer, & T. L. Cross (Eds.), *The Social and Emotional Development of Gifted Children: What do we Know?* (pp. 283–298). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press Inc.
- Ottone-Cross, K. L., Dulong-Langley, S., Root, M. M., Gelbar, N., Bray, M. A., Luria, S. R., ... Pan, X. (2017). Beyond the mask: Analysis of error patterns on the KTEA-3 for students with giftedness and learning disabilities. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, *35*, 74–93. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282916669910
- Pameijer, N., & Van Beukering, T. (2007). *Handelingsgericht Werken op School*. Leuven (Belgie): Uitgeverij Acco.
- Pfeiffer, S. I. (2015). Gifted students with a coexisting disability: The twice exceptional. *Estudos de Psicologia (Campinas)*, 32, 717–727. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-166X2015000400015
- Pfeiffer, S. I., & Foley-Nicpon, M. (2018). Knowns and unknowns about students with disabilities who also happen to be intellectually gifted. In S. B. Kaufman (Ed.), *Twice Exceptional:* Supporting and Educating Bright and Creative Students with Learning Difficulties (pp. 104–119). Oxford University Press.
- Reis, S. M., Baum, S. M., & Burke, E. (2014). An operational definition of twice-exceptional learners: Implications and applications. *Gifted Child Quarterly*, *58*, 217–230. https://doi.org/10.1177/0016986214534976
- Reis, S. M., & Renzulli, J. S. (2004). Current research on the social and emotional development of gifted and talented students: Good news and future possibilities. *Psychology in the Schools*, *41*, 119–130. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.10144
- Renzulli, J. S., & Park, S. (2002). *Giftedness and high school dropouts: Personal, family, and school-related factors*. Retrieved from https://eric-ed-gov.proxy-ub.rug.nl/?id=ED480177
- Rinn, A. N., & Nelson, J. M. (2009). Preservice teachers' perceptions of behaviors characteristic of ADHD and giftedness. *Roeper Review*, *31*, 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/02783190802527349
- Rommelse, N., van der Kruijs, M., Damhuis, J., Hoek, I., Smeets, S., Antshel, K. M., ... Faraone, S. V. (2016). An evidenced-based perspective on the validity of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the context of high intelligence. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 71, 21–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.08.032
- Sabatino, C. A., & Christopher, R. W. (2018). Bridges academy: A strengths-based model for 2e. In S. B. Kaufman (Ed.), *Twice Exceptional: Supporting and Educating Bright and Creative Students with Learning Difficulties* (pp. 301–321). Oxford University Press.
- Segers, E., & Hoogeveen, L. (2012). *Research into Excellence in Primary, Secondary and Higher Education*. Radboud University Nijmegen.
- Sinot, E. (2018). *De dubbel bijzondere leerling*. Retrieved from http://www.hbeter.nl/psychologie/dedubbel-bijzondere-leerling/
- SLO. (2018a). *De bijzonder begaafde leerling zien, horen, begrijpen en ondersteunen*. Retrieved from https://talentstimuleren.nl/?file=3650&m=1432914500&action=file.download
- SLO. (2018b). *Themadossier dubbel bijzonder*. Retrieved from https://talentstimuleren.nl/thema/dubbel-bijzonder
- Steunpunt passend onderwijs po/vo. (2018). *Omgaan met de dubbel bijzondere leerling*. Interview met Lilian Snijders. Retrieved from https://www.steunpuntpassendonderwijs-povo.nl/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Omgaan-met-de-dubbel-bijzondere-leerling.pdf
- SWV-PVO. (2017). Memo opdracht ontwikkeling aanbod hoogintelligente leerlingen: Herziene versie
- Toffalini, E., Pezzuti, L., & Cornoldi, C. (2017). Einstein and dyslexia: Is giftedness more frequent in children with a specific learning disorder than in typically developing children? *Intelligence*, 62, 175–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2017.04.006
- Trail, B. A. (2011). Twice-Exceptional Gifted Children: Understanding, Teaching, and Counseling Gifted Students. Waco, TX, US: Prufrock Press.
- Van der Spek, J. (2014). VOHAD: Vragenlijst Onderscheid Hoogbegaafdheid en ADHD. Maassluis: Uitgeverij Pluspunt.
- Van der Spek, J. (2015). VOHAS: Vragenlijst Onderscheid Hoogbegaafdheid en ASS. Maassluis: Uitgeverij Pluspunt.

- Van Gerven, E. (2017). *Beter begeleiden van Dubbel Bijzondere leerlingen*. Almere: Slim Educatief. Retrieved from https://www.slimeducatief.nl/artikelen/download/24/
- Van Gerven, E. (2018a). *Adressing the needs of twice-exceptional students in the regular classroom*. Almere: Slim Educatief. Retrieved from https://www.slimeducatief.nl/artikelen/download/29/
- Van Gerven, E. (2018b). The unique profiles of twice-exceptional students: The concept of 1+1=3. Almere: Slim Educatief. Retrieved from https://www.slimeducatief.nl/edutalks-en-handouts/18/
- Van Nuland, J. (2017). *Valkuilen*. Nuland: Talent3XL. Retrieved from https://www.talent3xl.nl/dubbel-bijzonder/valkuilen-1
- Van Nuland, J., & Van Nuland, M. (2018). *Dubbel bijzonder: Begeleiding in balans. Plan en groeidocument voor een cognitief begaafd kind*. Nuland: www.dubbelbijzonder.nl. Retrieved from https://drive.google.com/file/d/1J5nsCBhtU2nh 7IBfNVzHSxj81aL3-i5/view
- Van Viersen, S., De Bree, E. H., Kalee, L., Kroesbergen, E. H., & De Jong, P. F. (2017). Foreign language reading and spelling in gifted students with dyslexia in secondary education. *Reading and Writing*, *30*, 1173–1192. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-016-9717-x
- van Viersen, S., de Bree, E. H., Kroesbergen, E. H., Slot, E. M., & de Jong, P. F. (2015). Risk and protective factors in gifted children with dyslexia. *Annals of Dyslexia*, *65*, 178–198. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-015-0106-y
- Van Viersen, S., Kroesbergen, E. H., Slot, E. M., & De Bree, E. H. (2016). High reading skills mask dyslexia in gifted children. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 49, 189–199. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219414538517
- Verhoeven, M., & Van Nuland, J. (2015). *Hoogbegaafde leerlingen met psychiatrische problematiek: Wat betekent dat in het onderwijs?* Retrieved from https://onderwijsconsulenten.nl/media/filer_public/6b/ed/6bedeb96-9290-4ec0-81eb-203f20710873/drukversie_onderwijs_aan_hoogbegaafde_leerlingen_met_psychische_problematiek.pdf
- Wasserman, L. H. (2013). The twice-exceptional young learner. In L. H. Wasserman & D. Zambo (Eds.), *Early Childhood and Neuroscience Links to Development and Learning*. Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media.
- Webb, J. T., Amend, E. R., Beljan, P., Webb, N. E., Kuzujanakis, M., Olenchak, F. R., & Goerss, J. (2016). *Misdiagosis and Dual Diagnosis of Gifted Children and Adults: ADHD, Bipolar, OCD, Asperger's, Depression, and Other Disorders* (2nd ed.). Tucson, A.Z.: Great Potential Press.
- Webb, J. T., Amend, E. R., Webb, N. E., & Goerss, J. (2013). *Misdiagnose van Hoogbegaafden: Handreiking voor Passende Hulp*. Assen: Koninklijke Van Gorcum BV.
- Webb, J. T., Amend, E. R., Webb, N. E., Goerss, J., Beljan, P., & Olenchak, F. R. (2005). Misdiagnoses and Dual Diagnoses of Gifted Children and Adults: ADHD, Bipolar, OCD, Asperger's, Depression, and Other Disorders. Scottsdale, A.Z.: Great Potential Press.